

UNITED ST/ S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Jemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

		No. of the last of	Washington, D.C.	20231	\mathcal{M}
· APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	ED APPLICANT		ATTY, DOCKET NO.
08/711,7	94 09/10/	96 JOHNSON		Р	96-0588
		٠,			EXAMINER
		35M1/01	07		
KAARADA IVAR M	& ASSOCIATES	s ·		MARRUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	H ELMWOOD A	VENUE	-		2
SIOUX FA	LLS SD 5710	4-1942		3504	
	•	•		DATE MAJLED:	01/07/97
					01.07.77
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF PA		harge of your application. IARKS	· .		•
		OFFICE ACTION S	SUMMARY	•	
Responsive to commi	unication(s) filed on _				
This action is FINAL.	•				
Since this application	is in condition for allo	owance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as	to the merits is	closed in
		te Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 45			•
shortened statutory per	iod for response to th	nis action is set to expire	<u> </u>	month(s), or f	hirty days.
hichever is longer, from t	the mailing date of thi	is communication. Failure t	o respond within the pe	riod for respons	e will cause
e application to become 136(a).	abandoned. (35 U.S	S.C. § 133). Extensions of ti	me may be obtained ur	nder the provisio	ns of 37 CFR
sposition of Claims	1 0				
Claim(s)	1 - 8	<u></u>		is/are pendi	ng in the application.
Of the above, claim(s))			is/are withdrawr	n from consideration.
Claim(s)	1-8				_is/are allowed. _is/are rejected.
Claim(s)				is	/are objected to.
Claim(s)			are subject	to restriction or	election requirement.
pplication Papers			•		
See the attached Noti	ice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review, PT	O-948.		•
The drawing(s) filed o	n		is/are objected to by	the Examiner.	
The proposed drawing			i	is 🔲 approved	disapproved.
The specification is of The oath or declaration	•				
		ie Lairimer.			٠.
lority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119				•
Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C). § 119(a)-(d).		
All Some*	None of the CE	RTIFIED copies of the prior	ity documents have bee	en	
received.					
	•	de/Serial Number) tion from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	
*Certified copies not rea	ceived:	<u> </u>			
Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e).		1
ttachment(s)					
/] Notice of Reference C	Cited, PTO-892	٠.			
Information Disclosure	Statement(s), PTO-	-1449, Paper-No(s).			
Interview Summary, P		• • •			•
,	TO-413	• •			

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

1..

PTOL-328 (Rev. 9/96)

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Serial Number: 08/711794

Art Unit: 3504

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Moraly.

Sleeve (1a, 2a), wing members (1, 2), channel type cross section (fig. 10).

Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Schirm.

Sleeve (16), wing members (34), wing angle of 90 degrees (fig. 4C).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moraly.

The wing angle of 90 degrees is an obvious variation of the angle A in fig.

-3-

Serial Number: 08/711794

Art Unit: 3504

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schirm in view of Deike.

Schirm does not show mounting holes. However, Deike teaches forming mounting holes (24) on the post sleeve to secure the post sleeve to the sign post. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Schirm's post stabilizer device with mounting holes on the post sleeve as taught by Deike to secure the post sleeve to the sign post.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Lionberger, Ashworth, Johnson, Holland, Deike '358 and Berger.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner L. Mai at telephone number (703) 308-2168.

LANNA MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3500

L. Mai

01-02-97